Airneth Conference 2007

Optimising Airport Airside Capacity with intelligent Software

The case of LSZH

Andreas Gammel Expert Airport Operations Unique (Flughafen Zürich AG)

12th of April 2007





Layout, figures, responsibilities

- → 3 Runways
- → 260'000 Movements in 2006
- → 19.2 Mio PAX in 2006
- → Served 326'000 mvt in 2000 up to 1000 mvt per day
- ✤ Tower is function of ANSP, responsible for safe & efficient operations on the runways
- → Apron is function of Airport, responsible for safe & efficient operation on taxiways including timely delivering & sequencing of departures





Impulse for the development

- Equal arrival distribution on two runways (14 & 16)
- Downsize the departure delays
- Better co-ordination of traffic between TWR & GRO
- Increase the over all traffic figures





Research study

- → Cooperate initiative of Airport, AO and ATC
 - → Research study launched to DLR in 1993





Possibility to increase traffic figures

- → When Collaborative Decision Making (CDM) process will be implemented
- → When all partners working on the turnaround process give commitments to the status of processes
- When all individual processes will be harmonised to a common agreed goal
- → When the airport community will be supported by a over all planning & management system
 ✓ "darts"



Prototype development of "darts"

- Sept 1996: Order for system development including prototype
- → darts Prototypes:
 - 1. Phase 1 Pure Departure planning
 - 2. Phase 2 Departure planning in consideration of arrivals
 - 3. Phase 3 Integral Arrival / Departure planning
- Spring 1999: 4 weeks of system tests darts phase 1 & 2 with controllers in real time tower simulation
- Autumn 1999: 1 week of system tests darts phase 3 with controllers in real time tower simulation



Results from prototype test phase

<u>Phase 1 & 2</u>

- → Enhancement of traffic volume during peak hour
- → Reduction of departure delays
- \rightarrow Equal workload for controller due to
 - Permanent & automatic planning of the sequence (no mental planning by the controller)
 - \checkmark Execution of the sequence to the controller
 - ✓ Less radio transmissions with flight deck crew
 - Less coordination of traffic between TWR & GRO (silent coordination via system)



Results from prototype test phase

Phase 3

- Additional enhancement of traffic volume during peak hour
- → Optimising the over all airport airside system
- → Equal workload for controller





Airport airside processes within reach of darts



CALM = Arrival Management System



Based on the ATC flight plan, the airport slot, the RWY concept, the restrictions and limitations of the airspace, the wake turbulence category, the a/c speed class and the departure fix, *darts* calculates the best possible take off time.

According to the start up and/or pushback times, the taxi times from the stand to the RWY, darts calculates the adequate off block time.

If the scheduled time of a flight is changing, the operators or the handling agents must update the data's following the actual status, to indicate the estimated (ETD-Management).



Operational implementation



Project started in 2000

MoU with partners

Deep involvement of Partners in project (Customer board)

Adapt procedures

Information, training

darts 1 start operation in March 2003

Opening of new TWYsystem in May 2003

October 2002 / 2003 new OPS concept due to politics



Benefits

- ☺ Unlock existing, available airport airside capacity
- ② Dependable, harmonised and timely accurate data
- © Continuous, steady traffic flow on the manoeuvring area
- ② Punctuality improvement
- Predictability and robustness of airport airside operations
- ③ Reduction of taxi times = Reduction of air pollution
 - ✓ Study baseline: Year 2004 270'000 movements
 - ✓ Reduction of taxi emission by 4.1%
 - ✓ Less jet fuel of 1'150 t



Difficulties

punctuality measurement:
 off block time versus actual take off time

 change management: continuous and challenging process with ground handlers, aircraft operators and controllers (change of behaviour and culture)



Conclusion

The wheel is invented

- The approach and methodology achieved sustainable success
- Departure management system is real and operational
- **One system three major benefits**
 - higher airport airside capacity
 - Cost savings for airlines
 - Iower aircraft emissions on ground

Integrative arrival- / departure management system is feasible



Airneth Conference 2007

Thank you for your attention



SWISS